See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236736417

Appearance of Negative Differential Conductivity in Graphene Nanoribbons at High-Harmonics

Article in Graphene · April 2013

Project

DOI: 10.4236/graphene.2013.22009 · Source: arXiv

citations 0	;	reads 100	
3 authors, including:			
.	Rabiu Musah C.K. Tedam University of Technology and Applied Sciences 29 PUBLICATIONS 39 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Samuel Yeboah Mensah University of Cape Coast 88 PUBLICATIONS 383 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			
Project	Study of Acoustic effects in Carbon Allotropes View project		

Characterisation of Solar Cell Efficiency Under Harmattan Condistions: A Novel Cooling Technique Through Numerical Approach View project

Negative High-Harmonic Differential Conductivity in Graphene Nanoribbons

M. Rabiu

Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University for Development Studies, Navrongo Campus, Ghana. e-mail: rabpeace10gh@gmail.com

S. Y. Mensah and S. S. Abukari

Department of Physics, Laser and Fiber Optics Center, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana. e-mail: profsymensah@yahoo.co.uk; asseidu75@yahoo.com

Abstract: We theoretically study current dynamics of graphene nanoribbons subject to bias and ac driven fields. We showed that graphene nanoribbons exhibit negative high-harmonic differential conductivity. Negative differential conductivity appears when bias electric filed is in the neighborhood of applied ac filed amplitude. We also observe both even and odd high-harmonic negative differential conductivity at wave mixing of two commensurate frequencies. The even harmonics are more pronounced than the odd harmonics. A possible use of the present method for generating terahartz frequencies at even harmonics in graphene is suggested.

Keywords and phrases: Graphene, Current density, Bessel function, Bloch oscillations, Negative differential conductivity.

Received February 2012.

1. Introduction

Graphene has continued to surprise scientists since its discovery in 2004 by Geim and his team [1]. Theoretically, the carrier transport properties are fantastic. Especially, its high carrier mobility of $44000cm^2V^{-1}s^{-1}$ [2] and high current density. But attempts to utilize these in graphene devices is posing some difficulties. The limitation is probably due to several factors including; lack of band gap in graphene sheets, edge defects, disorder, among others. To overcome some of these obstacles, the dimension of graphene sheets has to be reduced. After all, new physics (quantization) emerge when dimensions of materials are reduced. An infinite 2D graphene could become 1D + quantization along one other direction opening a gap. The resulting material is graphene nanoribbon (GNR). Depending on the nature of the edges, one can get two symmetry groups from the GNR, armchair (aGNR) or zigzag (zGNR). Electron dynamics of both aGNR and zGNR have different electronic properties, mostly due to the berry phase and pseudo spin [9]. Edge states have significant contribution to graphene properties, because in a nanometer size ribbon, massless Dirac fermions can reach the edges within a femto-second before encountering any other lattice effects, like electron-electron interaction, electron-phonon interaction, etc.

In this paper, we study the phenomenon of negative differential conductivity (NDC) in GNRs. In conventional semiconductor devices, a negative differential conductive behavior is known to offer great potential for high frequency applications as Bloch oscillators, frequency multipliers, and fast switching devices. For this reason, the NDC effect has been greatly explored and discussed in several graphene nanostructures, including [6]. NDC can also be observed in other graphene allotropes; carbon nanotubes (CNT) [12]. The unique energy spectrum of holes and electrons, especially its gapless nature leads to nontrivial features such as Negative Differential Conductivity (NDC) in the THz regime [6].

In fact, we must emphasize that the phenomenon of NDC and Bloch oscillations in a material is a possibility for THz generations in such material [7, 11], since NDC occurs in the THz spectral range. Most of the methods of producing THz frequencies are experimental and only very few analytical (without computer numerics) approaches are known. Though Green function techniques have also been employed in some cases. Motivated by the fact that a rigorous analytical approach is necessary for studying NDC in GNRs, we adopt a semi-classical method used in references [5, 12] for armchair and zigzag CNTs. We predict that GNRs should also reproduce similar results as in reference [5] because both CNTs and GNRs have almost the same full tight binding (TB) nonlinear complex band structure.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows; In section 2, we derived the current density of aGNR and zGNR and imposed certain conditions to reduce the equations to appropriate forms. The results obtained in this section are plotted and discussed in section 4. The paper finally concludes in section 5 and by making some recommendations for future applications.

2. The theory

As it is usually done in semi-classical treatment of quantum systems, we assume that the dynamics of the free π -electrons in graphene satisfies the BTE in zero magnetic field. That is,

$$\frac{\partial f(k,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{eE(t)}{\hbar} \frac{\partial f(k,t)}{\partial k} = \Gamma[f(k,t) - f_0(k)]$$
(2.1)

We are also assuming relaxation time approximation and spatial uniform nanoribbon. The inverse of the relaxation time Γ is momentum independent. For the case of energy varying Γ , see [3]. In Eq.2.1, $f_0(k)$ and f(t, k) are the equilibrium and non-equilibrium Fermi electron distribution functions, respectively. e is the electronic charge, k is the electron wave vector and \hbar is the reduced plank constant. We consider an external applied field E(t) as a superposition n harmonic waves;

$$E(t) = e \sum_{j=0}^{n} E_j e^{i(\omega_j t + \alpha_j)}, \qquad (2.2)$$

polarized along one direction with the angular frequency ω . The phase difference between the (j + 1)th and *j*th wave being $\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j = \alpha$ is arbitrary, *j* is an integer. E_j are the amplitudes. We require that $\omega_0 = \alpha_0 = 0$. In the following section we will look at separately aGNR and zGNR.

2.1. Armchair and zigzag nanoribbon band structures

The energy band structure of aGNR and zGNR is characterized by three parameters, band index λ , phase θ and wave vector k [9, 10],

$$\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}(k,\theta) = \lambda \gamma_0 \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(s\Delta\theta) + 4\cos(s\Delta\theta)\cos(kl)}.$$
 (2.3)

for aGNR and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\lambda}(k,\theta) = \lambda \gamma_0 \sqrt{1 + 4\cos^2(kl') + 4\cos(s\Delta\theta)\cos(kl')}.$$
 (2.4)

for zGNR. Where $\lambda = \pm 1$. (+) for conduction band and (-) for valence band. $l = \sqrt{3}a/2$, a is the lattice spacing with value 0.246nm, $\gamma_0 \sim 3.0eV$ is the overlap integral and θ is the phase perpendicular to the quasi-momentum $\hbar k$. l' = a/2. The 1BZ of aGNR is bounded by $kl = [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ and the zGNR is $kl' = [0, \pi]$. k is parallel to the edge and has translational symmetry along this direction. For aGNR, the transverse wave vector (phase) is quantized according to the rule [9], $\theta_s = s\Delta\theta$ with $\Delta\theta = \frac{\pi}{N+1}$ and $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathcal{N}$. Unlike aGNR, the nature of transverse wave vector quantization is complicated in zGNR, depending on both k and θ as $\theta_n = (\pi j + \Lambda(k, \theta))/(n + 1)$. However, for simplicity we assume Λ is constant, say $\pi/2$, so that $\theta_n = \frac{(2j+1)\frac{\pi}{2}}{n+1}$. Except this little subtlety, all that is discussed in section 2.1 equally apply here. Thus the current density for zGNR is also given by Eq.(3.5).

Now, employing the translational invariance of the graphene in the reciprocal space, we expand in Fourier series functions f, f_0 and \mathcal{E} along the edge having the periodicity in k;

$$f_0(k,\theta) = \sum_{r \neq 0} f_r(\theta) e^{irkl}, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$f(k,\theta,t) = \sum_{r\neq 0} f_r(\theta) e^{irkl} \Phi_r(t), \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(k,\theta) = \gamma_0 \sum_{r \neq 0} \mathcal{E}_r(\theta) e^{irkl}.$$
(2.7)

The Fourier coefficient f_r is expressed as $f_r(\theta) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} f_{rs} \Delta \theta \delta(\theta_s - s \Delta \theta)$ with

$$f_{rs} = \frac{l}{\pi s \Delta \theta} \int_{-\pi/2l}^{\pi/2l} dk f_0(k, \theta) e^{-irkl}, \qquad f_{rs} = f_{-rs}^*$$
(2.8)

and

$$\mathcal{E}_r = \frac{l}{2\pi\gamma_0} \int_{-\pi/2l}^{\pi/2l} dk \mathcal{E}(k) e^{-irkl}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{E}_{-r}^*.$$
(2.9)

We assume s counts the number of dimers \mathcal{N} in GNR energy dispersion. The factor Φ_r in Eq.(2.9) is a central point in this paper and so has to be determined. r is an integer and not equal to zero. We consider a classical limit in which energy levels could be excited due to thermal fluctuations, i.e $\Delta \mathcal{E} << K_B T << \mathcal{E}_C$. This condition is also necessary to for large enough field, so that charge carriers can escape low energy scattering [16]. The energy level spacing $\Delta \mathcal{E} = \pi W \gamma_0 l/A$, \mathcal{E}_C is the charging energy, K_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature and W is the graphene width. In what follows next, we will find the form of $\Phi(t)$. To do this Eqs.(2.5), (2.6), (2.7) are substituted in Eq.(2.1) using the boundary conditions t = 0, $\Phi_r = 1$ to yield

$$\frac{d\Phi_r(t)}{dt} = [\Gamma + ir\Omega(t)]\Phi_r(t) - \Gamma = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

where $\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 + \frac{el}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^n E_j e^{i\omega_j t + \alpha_j}$ is the modulation degree of anharmonicity in electron motion. The solution of eq.(2.10) is straight foward one, thus

$$\Phi_r(t) = \frac{\Gamma \int dt e^{\Gamma t + i \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e^{i\omega_j t + \alpha_j} + i\beta_0 t}}{e^{\Gamma t + i \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e^{i\omega_j t + \alpha_j} + i\beta_0 t}},$$
(2.11)

 $\beta_j = erlE_j/\hbar\omega_j$ and $\beta_0 = \Omega_0 = erlE_0$. One can introduce product notation in eq.(2.11) as

$$\mathcal{R}e\Phi_{r}(t) = \Gamma \prod_{j'=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[e^{-\Gamma t - i\beta'_{j}cos(i\omega'_{j}t + \alpha'_{j}) - i\beta_{0}t} \right]$$
$$\times \int dt e^{\Gamma t + i\beta_{j}cos(i\omega_{j}t + \alpha_{j}) + i\beta_{0}t}, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\mathcal{I}m\Phi_{r}(t) = \Gamma \prod_{j'=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[e^{-\Gamma t - i\beta'_{j} sin(i\omega'_{j}t + \alpha'_{j}) - i\beta_{0}t} \right] \\ \times \int dt e^{\Gamma t + i\beta_{j} sin(i\omega_{j}t + \alpha_{j}) + i\beta_{0}t}.$$
(2.13)

Eqs.(2.12), (2.13) are connected with the well known Bessel functions via Jacobi-Anger expansion

$$e^{\pm i\beta_j sin\theta} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_m(\beta_j) e^{\pm im\theta},$$

M. Rabiu et al./Negative differential conductivity in graphene nanoribbons

$$e^{\pm i\beta_j \cos\theta} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} i^m J_m(\beta_j) e^{\pm im\theta}.$$

 $J_m(\beta)$ is the m^{th} order Bessel function. Using these expansions, Eq.(2.13) becomes

$$\Phi_{r}(t) = \Gamma \sum_{n_{j'}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{j}=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j'=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} J_{n_{j'}}(\beta_{j'}) e^{-\Gamma t - i\beta_{j'} \sin(i\omega_{j'} t + \alpha_{j'}) - i\beta_{0} t}$$
$$\times \int dt J_{m_{j}}(\beta_{j}) e^{\Gamma t + i\beta_{j} \sin(i\omega_{j} t + \alpha_{j}) + i\beta_{0} t}$$
(2.14)

Applying the integration and letting j = j' = 1, 2, ... and $m_j, n_j = \pm 1, \pm 2...$

$$\Phi_{r}(t) = \sum_{n_{j}, \nu_{j} = -\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} J_{n_{j}}(\beta_{j}) J_{n_{j} - \nu_{j}}(\beta_{j}) \frac{e^{i\nu_{j}\omega_{j}t + i\nu_{j}\alpha_{j}}}{1 + i\tau(\beta_{0} + \nu_{j}\omega_{j})},$$
(2.15)

where $\nu_j = n_j - m_j$. The real part of Φ_r is obtained in a similar manner.

Current density

The sheet current density can be determined from the relation

$$j(t) = \frac{g_s g_v}{A} \sum_k e v_k f_k.$$
(2.16)

The sheet area A = WL, with W the width. g_s , g_v are the spin and valley degeneracies respectively. For aGNR,

$$j(t) = \frac{g_s g_v e}{4\pi^2} \sum_{s=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \int dk v(k, \theta_s) f(k, \theta_s, \Phi_r).$$
(2.17)

The velocity is defined as $v(k) = \partial \mathcal{E}/\hbar \partial k$. In terms of the Fourier coefficients,

$$v(k,\theta) = \frac{i\gamma_0 l}{\hbar} \sum_{r \neq 0} r \mathcal{E}_{rs} e^{irkl}$$
(2.18)

giving

$$j(t) = i \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0r} \Phi_r(t) + c.c$$
(2.19)

with

$$j_{0r} = \frac{2g_s g_v e \gamma_0}{\pi l \hbar} \Delta \theta \sum_{s=1}^n r \mathcal{E}_{rs} f_{rs}, \qquad \qquad j_{0,r}^* = -j_{0,-r}.$$

Substitute Φ_r in Eq.(2.19) to get

$$j(t) = i \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0r} \left[\sum_{n_j, \nu_j = -\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n J_{n_j}(\beta_j) J_{n_j - \nu_j}(\beta_j) \frac{e^{i\nu_j\omega_j t + i\nu_j\alpha_j}}{1 + i\tau(\beta_0 + n_j\omega_j)} + c.c \right].$$
(2.20)

Note the r dependence of β_j , β_0 and the summation over the index. Using the formalism by Litvinov and Manasson [14], eq.(2.20) can be put in a taylor-like expansion of E_j . i.e

$$j(t) = j_{dc} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} E_{j} \sum_{\nu_{j} \neq 0} \sigma_{n_{j}\omega_{j}} e^{i\nu_{j}\omega_{j}t} + c.c + \cdots, \qquad (2.21)$$

where

$$j_{dc} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0r} \sum_{n_j = -\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} J_{n_j}^2(\beta_j) \frac{i + \beta_0 \tau + n_j \omega_j \tau}{1 + [\tau(\beta_0 + n_j \omega_j)]^2} + c.c$$
(2.22)

is the differential dc conductivity (for $\nu_j = 0$), and

$$\sigma_{n_j\omega_j} = \frac{2}{E_j} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0r} \sum_{n_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n J_{n_j}(\beta_j) J_{n_j-\nu_j}(\beta_j) \frac{i+\beta_0\tau+n_j\omega_j\tau}{1+[\tau(\beta_0+n_j\omega_j)]^2} e^{i\nu_j\alpha_j} + c.c$$
(2.23)

is the large-signal dynamic nonlinear conductivity at ν_j harmonic with drive frequency ω_j .

3. Negative differential conductivity

3.1. Pure dc limit

To see immediately that E1.(2.22) demonstrates NDC, we consider a pure dc limit where $\omega_j \to 0$. The Bessel functions except the n = 0 term will vanish. The differential conductivity $\sigma(0) = \lim_{\omega_j \to 0} \partial j / \partial E_0$ becomes

$$\sigma(0) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{0r} \frac{e l \tau}{\hbar} \frac{1 - (\beta_0 \tau)^2}{1 + (\tau \beta_0)^2},$$
(3.1)

so that if $\beta_0 > \tau^{-1}$, the differential conductivity is negative and NDC is manifest in GNRs.

Electron dynamics may be come more complicated in the presence of high-frequency components in addition to the static electric fields. High negative differential conductivity thus may result in GNRs if an external drive force is applied.

3.2. Monoharmonics

If one component of an ac filed is applied, we take n = 1 and Eq.(2.22) simplifies to

$$j = \sum_{r} j_{0r} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n^2(\beta) \frac{\beta_0 \tau + n\omega_1 \tau}{1 + (\beta_0 \tau + n\omega\tau)^2},$$
(3.2)

after dropping the subscripts on n. A plot of j versus E_0 for $\omega \tau \ll 1$ is shown in Fig.1 (left) for armchair ribbon and in Fig.1 (right) for zigzag ribbon,



FIG 1. Negative differential conductivity for (left) armchair and (right) zigzag graphene nanoribbons at different ac field amplitudes. $\omega \tau = 0.1$. The onset of NDC at low static fields is at $E_0 \sim E$. It departs from this condition at high fields

3.3. Biharmonics

One can also allow the graphene to be subjected to two ac field components, in that case we let j = 1, 2 in our general formalism. This case has been studied in literature, especially in [15] for superlattices. Eq.(2.23) then takes the form

$$j = i \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0r} \sum_{n_1, n_2 = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2 = -\infty}^{\infty} J_{n_1}(\beta_1) J_{n_1 - \nu_1}(\beta_1) J_{n_2}(\beta_2) J_{n_2 - \nu_2}(\beta_2) \times \frac{e^{i\nu_1\alpha_1 + i\nu_2\alpha_2}}{1 + i\tau(\beta_0 + n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2)}.$$
(3.3)

We have eliminated the time dependence by averaging over the period of the fields to find the time-independent current j. In the left hand side, we replace $\langle j(t) \rangle = j$, and in the right hand side a delta function emerges which ensures that $\nu_1 = -\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}\nu_2$. If $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, then one must put $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ so that $\nu_1 = \nu_2$. However, we shall generalized this to a case of commensurate

frequencies. We exemplified the case by biharmonic having frequencies which can be periodic $\omega_2 = \mu\omega_1$ or non-periodic, $\omega_2 \neq \mu\omega_1$ with $\mu = 1, 2, \ldots$. These two cases are studied in [17, 18] for semiconductor superlattices. Defining $j_{0,r} = \frac{2g_v g_s e \gamma_0}{\sqrt{3}h(n+1)a} \sum_{s=1}^n r \mathcal{E}_{rs} f_{rs}$, Eq.(3.3) assumes the form

$$j = i \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0,r} \sum_{n_1, \nu_2 = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_{n_1}(\beta_1) J_{n_1 + \mu\nu_2}(\beta_1) J_{n_2}(\beta_2) J_{n_2 - \nu_2}(\beta_2)}{1 + i\tau(\beta_0 + [n_1 + \mu n_2]\omega_1)} e^{i\nu_2 \alpha}.$$
 (3.4)

Simplifying further, we linearize with respect to one of the field amplitudes (say, E_2). For a week field $\beta_2 \ll 1$, $J_n(\beta) \approx (\beta/2)^2/n!$, which allows us to take n_2 (or $n_2 - \nu_2$) = ±1 (or $0, \pm 1$). We obtain

$$j = i \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} j_{0,r} \sum_{n_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_0(\beta_2) \sum_{n_2 = \pm 1} J_{n_2}(\beta_2) J_{n_1}(\beta_1) J_{n_1 + \mu n_2}(\beta_1)}{1 + i\tau(\beta_0 + [n_1 + \mu n_2]\omega_1)} e^{in_2 \alpha}, \quad (3.5)$$

with $\beta_{1,2} = erl E_{1,2}/\hbar\omega_{1,2}$. Where $l = \sqrt{3}a/2$ for armchair and l = a/2 for zigzag graphene nanoribbons. Finally, the current density becomes

$$j = j_0 \frac{e l \tau^2}{\mu \hbar} E_2 \cos \alpha \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{N} r^2 \mathcal{E}_{rs} f_{rs}$$
$$\times \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_0 \tau + n \omega \tau}{1 + (\beta_0 \tau + n \omega \tau)^2} J_n(r\beta_1) \left\{ J_{n-\mu}(r\beta_1) - J_{n+\mu}(r\beta_1) \right\} \right] (3.6)$$

which reduces to the monoharmonic case when $\mu = 0$.



FIG 2. Negative differential conductivity due to wave mixing of two ac field amplitudes. (left) $\mu = 2$ and (right) $\mu = 3$. The parameters used are $E_1 = 0.2E_{cr}$, $E_2 = E_{cr}$ and $\omega \tau = 0.01$.

The nature of the NDC is observed for a simultaneously varying harmonic field and phase difference in a three dimensional plot shown in Fig.3



FIG 3. NDC of AGNR for simultaneously varying ac field amplitude and phase shift.

4. Discussion

In Fig.1, normalized current density j/j_0 is plotted against reduced static electric field E_0/E_{cr} for aGNR (left) and zGNR (right) for an applied ac field. At low fields up to $E_0(j_{max})$, the quantum derivative of the $j - E_0$ characteristic yields a positive slope. A negative slope results for $E_0 > E_0(j_{max})$. The whole of the region $E_0 > E_0(j_{max})$ gives what is called Negative Differential Conductivity (NDC). A consequence of NDC in GNRs is a formation of electric field domains that impedes a continuous motion of electric field waves and thus blocks high frequency generation in these nanoribbons. NDC disappears quite faster in aGNR as $E \to \infty$ as compared to zGNR which is more rubust at this limit.

The curves in Fig.2 demonstrate NDC, they are obtained at wave mixing of two commensurate frequencies, $\omega_2 = \mu \omega_1$. Fig.2 (left) $\mu = odd$ and Fig.2 (right) $\mu = even$. The onset of NDC in *odd*-harmonics occurs around $E_0 \sim E_1$, and in *even*-harmonics it starts at $E_0 \leq E_1$. In both cases, as in the previous NDC graphs, $\omega \tau \ll 1$.

The combined effect of phase shift and ac amplitude on NDC is depicted in Fig.3. There are three peaks at low bias fields at points $(E \sim E_{cr}, \alpha = 0)$, $(E \sim E_{cr}, \alpha = \pi)$ and $(E \sim E_{cr}, \alpha = 2\pi)$. For now, it is not clear what these crests and throughs represents, they might be associated with field domains along some direction (for $\alpha = 0, 2\pi$) and others along the opposite direction (for $\alpha = \pi$) or vice-versa.

5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that graphene nanoribbons exhibit NDC regions in its $j-E_0$ characteristics at low bias field when $\omega \tau << 1$. NDC is observed either in the presence of bias field alone or by superimposing ac field amplitudes. For one ac field, NDC occurs at $\omega \tau \sim 0.1$. When two ac fields at commensurate frequencies are applied, high-harmonic NDC emerge for both even and odd harmonics at rather very low frequencies $\omega \tau \sim 0.01$. The even-series gives pronounced high-harmonic NDC than the odd-series. The presence of high-harmonic NDC means that it is possible for high-frequency generation in graphene nanoribbons when electric field domains are suppressed at high enough applied frequencies $\omega \tau >> 1$ and $E_0 > E_{cr}$. We therefore suggest this approach for the study of terahertz generation in graphene.

References

- K. S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M.I. Katsenelson, I.V. Grigorieva, S.V. Dubonus and A.A. Firsov, *Nature* 438, 197 (2005).
- [2] R. S. Shishir and D. K. Ferry, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 21, 23204 (2009).
- [3] M. Rabiu, S. Y. Mensah and S. S. Abukari, arXiv:1108.2809 (2011).
- [4] K. Sasaki, K. Wakabayashi and T. Enoki. New J. Phys, 12, 083023 (2010).
- [5] S. S. Abukari, S. Y. Mensah, N.G. Mensah, K. W. Adu, K. A. Dompreh and A. K. Twum, arXiv.org (2011).
- [6] V. Ryzhii, M. Ryzhii, T. Otsiji. J. Appl. Phys, 101, 083114 (2007).
- [7] V. Ryzhii, M. Ryzhii, A. Satou, T. Otsiji, A.A Dubinov, V.Y. Aleshkin, J. Appl. Phys. 106, 084507 (2009).
- [8] A. A. Dubinov, V.Y. Aleshkin, M.R ryzhii, T. Otsuji and V. Ryzhii, Appl. Phys. Express 2 092301 (2009).
- [9] K. Sasaki, K. Kato, Y. Tokura, K. Oguri and Tetesuomi Sogaw, arXiv:1107.0795v1 (2011).
- [10] F. Hipolito, A. H. Chaves, R. M. Ribeiro, M. I. Vasilevskiy, V. M. Pereira, and N.M.R. Peres, arXiv:1107.1509 (2011).
- [11] P. Kim, Y. Zhang, Y.W. tang, H.L. Stromer, *Nature* 438, 201 (2005).
- [12] S. S. Abukari, S. Y. Mensah, N. G. Mensah, K. A. Dompreh, A. K. Twum and F.K. Allotey, arXiv:1005.2869, arXiv:1002.3233 (2011).
- [13] S. S. Abukari, S. Y. Mensah, N. G. Mensah, K. A. Dompreh, A. K. Twum and F.K. Allotey, arXiv: 1007.1772v1 (2011).
- [14] V. I. Litvinov and A. Manasson, Phys. Rev. B 70, 195323 (2004).
- [15] K. N. Alekseev, M. V. Ereementchouk, F. V. Kusmartsev, arXiv:9903092v1 (1999).
- [16] S. K. Sekwao and J. P. Leburton, arXiv:1003.0842v1 (2010).
- [17] K. Seeger, Appl. Phys. Lett. 76, 82 (1999).
- [18] K. A. Pronin and A. D. Bandrauk, Phys. Rev. B 69, 195308 (2004).
- [19] Yu. A. Romanov, J. Yu. Romanova, and L. G. Mourokh, arXiv:0901.0961 (2009).

11

- [20] L. Esaki and R. Tsu, IBM J. Res. Dev., vol. 14, pp. 61-65, (1970). L. Esaki and R. Tsu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 19, 246 (1971).
- [21] T. Hyart, K. N. Alekseev, and E. V. Thuneber, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165330 (2008).