CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL

## OPTOMETRY



# Nutrition knowledge and dietary patterns in ophthalmic patients

Clin Exp Optom 2020

DOI:10.1111/cxo.13083

Check for

#### Samuel B Boadi-Kusi\* <sup>D</sup> OD PhD Edward Asiamah<sup>†</sup> OD Stephen Ocansey\* <sup>D</sup> OD PhD FAAO Sampson L Abu<sup>‡</sup> <sup>D</sup> OD

\*Department of Optometry and Vision Science, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana <sup>†</sup>Eye Department, Kumasi South Government Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

E-mail: sboadi-kusi@ucc.edu.gh

**Clinical significance:** Ophthalmic nutrition education programs and good dietary patterns are of considerable significance to ocular health outcomes.

**Background:** The study aimed at assessing the level of ophthalmic nutrition knowledge and to compare the dietary patterns between different ophthalmic patients.

**Methods:** Four hundred and ninety-two adults (mean age 54.7  $\pm$  19.4 years) receiving care at three eye-care facilities in Ghana were surveyed: 171 had senile cataract, 162 had open-angle glaucoma, and 159 had healthy eyes. A modified food frequency questionnaire was administered to elicit ophthalmic nutrition knowledge and dietary intake information of participants over the last 30 days. The results were compared between the three groups.

**Results:** More than half of the participants (n = 267; 54.3 per cent) had received some form of education on food sources that are good for the eyes and vision, with healthy participants accounting for 50.6 per cent of this proportion. Television (55.1 per cent; 147/267), radio (49.1 per cent; 131/267) and the eye doctor (34.1 per cent; 91/267) were the most frequent sources of ophthalmic nutritional knowledge. Overall, less than one-quarter of the participants (n = 108; 22.2 per cent) were able to correctly identify one food source for at least four out of seven selected ophthalmic nutrients. Vitamin C was the most frequently consumed ophthalmic nutrient, whereas lycopene was the least taken across all groups. The average ophthalmic nutrients intake score for those with healthy eyes (59.16 [53.39–64.93]) was significantly greater than for both glaucoma patients (37.73 [32.01–43.44]) and cataract patients (34.81 [29.24–40.37]).

Submitted: 16 October 2019 Revised: 26 February 2020 Accepted for publication: 2 April 2020 **Conclusion:** In addition to poor ophthalmic nutrition awareness and knowledge, both cataract and glaucoma patients, compared to those with healthy eyes, consumed a lesser amount of nutrients considered vital for eye health and vision. Ophthalmic nutrition education is needed to improve eye-health outcomes.

Key words: dietary patterns, Ghana, nutritional knowledge, ocular health, ophthalmic patients

Globally, approximately 217 million people suffer from moderate to severe visual impairment with an additional 36 million people estimated to be blind from uncorrected refractive errors, cataracts, and glaucoma.<sup>1</sup> Although the causes of blindness and visual impairment are universal, there are regional disparities in terms of health policy. Whereas high-income countries prioritise age-related macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy, low-income countries tend to focus more on uncorrected refractive error, cataract and glaucoma.<sup>2</sup>

A recent nation-wide study conducted in Ghana<sup>3</sup> confirmed earlier reports<sup>4,5</sup> that cataract, glaucoma and uncorrected refractive errors are the leading causes of blindness and visual impairment in the country. The

eye-care policy in Ghana therefore continues to focus on harnessing resources to increase cataract surgical rates and improve access to refraction and low-vision services.<sup>3,6</sup>

Among other factors, financial constraints remain a major hindrance to the utilisation of eye-care services in Ghana.<sup>7,8</sup> To deal with the rising burden of visual impairment, it is important for stakeholders to devise less expensive public health interventions to augment the conventional structures in place. One of such interventions is the implementation of a national ophthalmic nutrition sensitisation program.

The impact of good nutrition on the eye and visual system cannot be overstated, as certain vital nutrients are needed for optimal visual function and ocular health.<sup>9</sup> Diets rich

in antioxidants, lutein, zeaxanthin and B vitamins have been reported to be protective against developing age-related eye diseases such as cataract<sup>9–12</sup> and macular degeneration.<sup>13,14</sup> Omega-3 fatty acids,<sup>15</sup> zinc,<sup>16,17</sup> lycopene<sup>18</sup> and vitamins A, C, and E<sup>12,14</sup> have been shown to mediate biochemical processes that attenuate the deleterious effects of oxidative stress in the lens and retina.

Previous reports suggest that interventions, such as healthy dietary habits and nutritional supplements, may prevent the development or slow down deterioration of visual problems.<sup>19–22</sup> Implementation of such interventions as an eye-health policy in Ghana must be informed by and tailored to nutrition needs of the population at stake. However, there is a paucity of information regarding ophthalmic nutrition knowledge and dietary patterns at the population level and even at the clinic level.

In the present study, the level of awareness and knowledge on nutrients considered by ophthalmic care seekers to be essential to the eye was considered. Second, dietary patterns were compared between healthy participants, cataract and glaucoma patients. We used a modified food frequency questionnaire, an instrument that has been used widely to investigate the diet-disease relationship, nutritional knowledge, and dietary intake over a period of time.<sup>12,23</sup> In Ghana, the food frequency questionnaire has been used previously in studies involving diabetic<sup>24</sup> and human immunodeficiency virus patients.<sup>25</sup>

#### Methods

#### Study design and participants

The study was a cross-sectional survey of 492 participants, purposively recruited from the eye departments of three hospitals in Ghana: Tamale Teaching Hospital (located at northern Ghana), St. Patrick's Hospital (middle Ghana), and Cape Coast Teaching Hospital (southern Ghana). Participants included healthy individuals, cataract and glaucoma patients accessing eyecare services at one of the three hospitals.

Sample size was calculated using the Cochran's formula;  $N = Z^2 (1 - p)(p)/b^2$ , where N is the minimum sample size, Z is the standard normal deviation, usually set at 1.96 which corresponds to the 95% confidence interval, p is the proportion in the target population assumed to have nutritional knowledge (50 per cent), and b is the degree of desired accuracy, usually set at five per cent. Subsequently, a sample size of 385 was calculated. This was adjusted to 502 participants to account for possible attrition and to allow accurate comparison between groups. Out of the 502 recruited, data for 492 were used for data analysis due to incomplete data from 10 participants.

The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Review Board of the University of Cape Coast, Ghana (ID: UCCIRB/CHAS/2017/ 35) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All study centres approved the study and each participant gave written or verbal consent to participate in the study.

The eye-health records of participants were reviewed to determine their eligibility. To be eligible for the study, an enrolee had to be at least 18 years or older, with a confirmed clinical diagnosis of primary open-angle glaucoma (diagnosed based on raised intraocular pressure  $\geq 21$  mmHg, cup-disc ratio of  $\geq 0.7$  with a characteristic visual field defect) or senile cataract (opacity in the lens corresponding to a visual acuity of 6/24 or worse and were booked for surgery) in one or both eyes for at least three months. Healthy participants included persons who visited the hospitals for regular eye check-ups but with no significant vision threatening disorders and/or correctable refractive errors.

Patients with both cataract and glaucoma in at least one eye or any other visionimpairing comorbidities such as diabetic retinopathy, maculopathies and so on were excluded from the study. In addition, the presence of traumatic cataract, drug-induced cataract, angle-closure glaucoma, and secondary glaucoma were also grounds for exclusion from the study.

#### **Data collection**

A modified version of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire was adopted from a previous study.<sup>23</sup> The questionnaire was expanded to elicit demographic data of the patients, awareness, and knowledge on food sources for selected ophthalmic nutrients. The modified food frequency questionnaire was pre-tested on 45 patients to assess its consistency and reliability (Cronbach  $\alpha$  = 0.889). An interviewer administered the survey in English and in the local dialect of the patient with the help of an interpreter whenever necessary. The first part of the questionnaire obtained information on patient demographics: age, gender, residence, education, and income. The second part inquired into patients' awareness of the connection between nutrition and eyes, sources of that knowledge and ability to identify at least one food source for seven pre-selected ophthalmic nutrients: lutein-zeaxanthin, lycopene, zinc, omega 3, vitamins A, C and E.

Finally, participants were asked to indicate how frequent their diet comprised of food sources corresponding to each selected nutrient over the last 30 days. One month was chosen to help reduce the element of recall bias among the participants. A food chart was provided as a guide (Figure 1). The weight and height of each participant were measured and used to determine the body mass index. Data were collected from November 2017 to April 2018.

#### Data analysis

Ophthalmic nutrition awareness was defined as having received some form of sensitisation on specific foods and nutrients considered to be essential for healthy eyes and vision. The level of ophthalmic nutrition knowledge was assessed as correctly identifying one food source for at least four out of the seven selected nutrients. Patient demographics, level of nutrition awareness and knowledge and the sources of such knowledge were reported as frequencies. Chi-squared and logistic regression were performed to determine the relationship between the participant groups and nutrition awareness and knowledge.

The daily intake score for each nutrient was determined based on weights assigned for the frequency of intake of corresponding food sources. A weight of 0.0 was assigned for no intake, 0.07 for once per week, 0.29 for two to three times weekly, 0.71 for four to six times weekly, 1.0 for once daily, 2.5 for two to three times daily and 4.5 for four or more times daily.<sup>26</sup> The overall ophthalmic nutrients intake score was calculated as the sum of the daily intake scores for all seven nutrients shown in Figure 1. Therefore, the minimum and maximum intake scores were 0.0 and 31.5, respectively.

The mean ophthalmic nutrients intake score was compared between the different participant groups using one-way analysis of variance. Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Version 25.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and the level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

#### Results

#### **Patient characteristics**

Of the 492 participants surveyed, 159 (32.3 per cent) had healthy eyes, 162 (32.9 per cent) had open-angle glaucoma and 171 (34.8 per cent) had a cataract. The overall mean age was  $54.2 \pm 19.3$  years with healthy participants being relatively younger. The majority (57.1 per cent, n = 281) were female. Table 1 summarises the sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants.

### Level of ophthalmic nutrition awareness and knowledge

More than half of the participants (n = 267; 54.3 per cent) had received some form of sensitisation on the linkage

HEALTHY EYES							
NUTRIENT	WHAT IT DOES TO YOUR EYE	I	FOOD S	OURCI	ES		
LUTEIN & ZEAXANTHIN	Absorb harmful blue light and/or quench reactive oxy- gen species.	Pepper	Egg	Pasta	Papaya	Carrot	Com Com
OMEGA 3	Provides neuroprotective functions in the retina and improves chronic ocular diseases including dry eye syndrome, diabetic retinopathy and AMD.	Millet	Salmon	Herring "Amane"	Red Oil	Ackerel & Sardine	Vegetable Oil
VITAMIN A	Essential in the formation of the retinal photoreceptor pigments and maintaining the health of the ocular surface.	Davadawa d	Avore	Tetrapleura "Prekese	okre	Plantain	Mik
VITAMIN C	A highly effective antioxidant, protecting essential bio- molecules, such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, DNA, and RNA, from damage by free radicals and reactive oxygen species.	Banana	Apple	Orange	Mango	Pineapple	Watermelon
VITAMIN E	Attacks free radicals to prevent a chain reaction of lipid oxidation.	Eggpant	Ginger	Rice	Cocoyam	Peanut	Okro
ZINC	Helps in the generation of the visual pigments of the retina & also serves an important role in scavenging superoxide radicals.	Avocado	Onion	Garlic	Kontomire	Wheat	Oat
LYCOPENE	Associated with decreased risk for any stage of AMD.	Watermelon	Papay		Tornato	Red oil	

Figure 1. Food chart showing examples of sources of specific ophthalmic nutrients

between nutrition and eye health and vision. Of the 267 participants who were aware of ophthalmic nutrition, 135 (50.6 per cent) had healthy eyes, 70 (26.2 per cent) had glaucoma and 62 (23.2 per cent) had a cataract. From the nine possible sources of information shown in Figure 2, the television (55.1 per cent; 147/267), radio (49.1 per cent; 131/267) and the eye doctor (34.1 per cent; 91/267) were the most common sources of ophthalmic nutrition education.

Overall, only 22.0 per cent of all patients (108 out of 492) were able to provide a food source for a minimum of four out of the seven selected nutrients. Of this proportion, the majority (80 participants) had healthy eyes, 19 had glaucoma and nine had cataracts. Table 2 presents the proportion of participants, within each group, who correctly identified one food source for each nutrient.

#### Association between participant groups and ophthalmic nutrition awareness and knowledge

Gender, residence, hospital location, participant group, education, occupation, and income showed statistically significant associations with both ophthalmic nutrition awareness and knowledge ( $\chi^2 = 7.024-137.645$ , p < 0.010). The multivariate analysis with logistic regression showed that only education and participant group were significant predictors of ophthalmic nutrition awareness.

The odds for having heard about ophthalmic nutrition was at least 67 per cent higher for participants who had received tertiary education than those with lower levels of education. For nutrition knowledge (knowing food sources that provide specific nutrients), the variables found to be significant predictors were increasing age, education and income. Details of the relationship between patient characteristics and nutrition awareness and knowledge are presented in Table 3.

#### Differences in ophthalmic nutrients intake between healthy, cataract and glaucoma patients

Among-group comparisons showed that the mean ophthalmic nutrients intake scores were different from the three participant groups ( $F_{(2,489)}$  = 20.88, p < 0.010). The mean ophthalmic nutrients intake scores (with 95% Cl) were 59.16 (53.39-64.93) for healthy participants, 37.73 (32.01-43.44) for glaucoma patients and 34.81 (29.24-40.37) for cataract patients. Tukey's post hoc test revealed that the mean ophthalmic nutrients intake score was significantly higher for healthy patients than for both glaucoma and cataract patients (mean difference = 21.43-24.35, p < 0.010). However, there was no

Demographics	Healthy (n = 159)	Cataract (n = 171)	Glaucoma/primary open-angle glaucoma (n = 162)
Age, years	$\textbf{32.4} \pm \textbf{9.7}$	$\textbf{67.5} \pm \textbf{9.3}$	$\textbf{61.4} \pm \textbf{14.9}$
Gender			
Female	75 (47.2%)	112 (65.5%)	94 (58.0%)
Male	84 (52.8%)	59 (34.5%)	68 (42.0%)
Location of hospital			
Northern	35 (22.0%)	32 (18.7%)	34 (21.0%)
Middle	61 (38.4%)	66 (38.6%)	66 (40.7%)
Southern	63 (39.6%)	73 (42.7%)	62 (38.3%)
Body mass index			
Normal, 18.5–25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	101 (63.5%)	136 (79.5%)	126 (77.8%)
Overweight, 25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> and above	58 (36.5%)	35 (20.5%)	36 (22.2%)
Residence			
Urban	151 (95.0%)	112 (65.5%)	117 (72.2%)
Rural	8 (5.0%)	59 (34.5%)	45 (27.8%)
Education			
None	2 (1.3%)	62 (36.3%)	43 (26.5%)
Basic	5 (3.1%)	46 (26.9%)	48 (29.6%)
Secondary/vocational/technical	45 (28.3%)	50 (29.2%)	52 (32.1%)
Tertiary (Diploma, Bachelor's degree and postgraduate)	107 (67.3%)	13 (7.6%)	19 (11.7%)
Income			
< 500 GHS (107 USD)	96 (60.4%)	131 (76.6%)	119 (73.5%)
500–1,000 GHS (107–214 USD)	37 (23.3%)	33 (19.3%)	33 (20.4%)
> 1,000 GHS (214–428 USD)	26 (16.4%)	7 (4.1%)	10 (6.2%)
Occupation			
Skilled	90 (56.6%)	19 (11.1%)	25 (15.4%)
Unskilled	24 (15.1%)	95 (55.6%)	80 (49.4%)
Unemployed	45 (28.3%)	40 (23.4%)	45 (27.8%)
Retired	0 (0.0%)	17 (9.9%)	12 (7.4%)

#### Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants



Figure 2. Bar graph showing the proportion of participants utilising the various sources of ophthalmic nutrition education

difference in ophthalmic nutrients intake scores between glaucoma and cataract patients.

For all participant groups, vitamin C was the most frequently consumed ophthalmic nutrient followed by zinc, whereas lycopene was the least taken. The average daily intake score for each nutrient for the three participant groups is shown in Figure 3.

#### Discussion

In an era of preventive medicine, practising healthy dietary habits is viewed as an important step to achieve positive health outcomes and overall wellbeing. Dietary adjustments and nutrient supplementation are notable interventions in the management of most chronic diseases including sight-threatening disorders such as agerelated macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, and so on.<sup>20,27</sup>

In the current study, awareness and knowledge of patients in respect of the linkage between nutrition and eye health was assessed, and a comparison was made of their intake of eye- and vision-related nutrients. Overall, only a little over half of the study participants (majority being healthy participants) had been educated about ophthalmic nutrition, mainly from television, radio and eye doctors (Figure 2). However, approximately 78 per cent of the study participants (mostly glaucoma and cataract patients) could not identify a single food source for at least four out of the seven vision-related nutrients. In addition, participants with healthy eyes recorded significantly higher ophthalmic nutrients intake scores than both glaucoma and cataract patients.

As expected, education was found to be a significant predictor of ophthalmic nutrition awareness. When asked to identify food sources of specific ophthalmic nutrients (Table 3), participants with tertiary education performed better than those who had relatively lower levels of education. Similarly, participants with healthy eyes had higher odds of identifying correct food sources of ophthalmic nutrients than counterparts who had cataract and glaucoma. These observations may reflect a general gap in nutrition knowledge among persons seeking eye care.

Stevens et al.<sup>28</sup> in a survey of 158 patients with age-related macular degeneration, found that only 55 per cent were aware of the connection between diet and ocular health. Although different subsets of ophthalmic patients were studied, the overall level of awareness found in our study (54.3 per cent) is comparable to that reported in Stevens et al.28 However, in the current study a lower level of nutrition awareness was found for cataract patients and glaucoma patients: 36.3 per cent (62 out of 171) and 43.2 per cent (70 out of 162), respectively. The higher level of nutrition awareness reported for the patients with age-related macular degeneration in the study by Stevens et al.<sup>28</sup> can be attributed to the fact that, unlike cataract and glaucoma, management of age-related macular degeneration includes nutritional supplementation.<sup>11</sup> As a result, age-related macular degeneration patients may seek and acquire more nutrition knowledge than cataract and glaucoma patients.

It is conceivable that knowing the connection between nutrition and health may translate into a higher intake of essential nutrients and a healthier dietary pattern.<sup>29,30</sup> Consequently, this study found that the ophthalmic nutrients intake score for participants who had been educated regarding ophthalmic nutrients was, on average, 42.8 per cent

• •	•		-	~					
			•	0	A	-			
				-					

24 (14.8)	akesc	σ			•			
31 (19.1)	aily int				\$	٠		
38 (24.5)	• °]			Ŭ		\$	•	
21 (13.0)							-	
12 (7.4)	ᇲᆚ	Vitamin C	Zinc	Vitamin E	Visamin A	Lutein & Zepoanthin	Ornega-3	L)
1 (0.6)								

Figure 3. Mean daily intake scores of ophthalmic nutrients for the different participant groups

e Cataract + Glaucoma + Normal

and C and carotenoids may have a protective trend against glaucoma. The Rotterdam study<sup>34</sup> also suggested that increased intake of retinol and vitamin B1 may lower the risk of developing glaucoma. The fact that both cataract and glaucoma patients, in the present study, recorded lower daily intake of all seven ophthalmic nutrients may be indicative of nutritional deficits in patients with chronic eye diseases.

A major limitation of the present study is that the healthy participants were on average 30 years younger than the cataract and glaucoma cohorts (Table 1). To ascertain whether age impacted nutrient intake scores, their

	Awareness		Knowledge	
Participant characteristics	Odds (95% CI)	p-value	Odds (95% CI)	p-value
Age	0.996 (0.977, 1.015)	0.695	0.970 (0.946, 0.995)	< 0.020
Gender				
Female				
Male*	0.965 (0.621, 1.499)	0.874	0.663 (0.384, 1.145)	0.140
Participant group				
Cataract	0.391 (0.167, 1.917)	0.031	0.515 (0.161, 1.641)	0.265
Glaucoma	0.456 (0.207, 1.003)	0.051	0.719 (0.303, 1.707)	0.454
Normal*				
Education				
None	0.109 (0.048, 0.250)	< 0.001	0.035 (0.004, 0.280)	0.002
Basic	0.086 (0.035, 0.210)	< 0.001	0.000 (0.000, 0.000)	0.996
Secondary	0.331 (0.163, 0.672)	0.002	0.473 (0.255, 0.876)	0.017
Tertiary*				
Income				
< 500 GHS	0.791 (0.459, 1.366)	0.401	0.677 (0.357, 1.283)	0.232
> 1,000 GHS	0.923 (0.331, 2.570)	0.878	3.008 (1.251, 7.914)	0.014
500–1,000 GHS*				
Bold indicate statistical significance.				

and cataract patients. Consistent with the

present results, a study conducted in Nige-

ria reported that a higher percentage of

healthy controls consumed more food

sources rich in ophthalmic nutrients than

ment of senile cataract can be controlled by

the intake of diets rich in vitamin C. lutein/

zeaxanthin, B vitamins, omega-3 fatty acids,

multivitamins, and carbohydrates.<sup>10,22,32</sup>

While there is no cogent evidence for or

against the role of nutrition in preventing or

slowing down glaucoma, Giaconi et al.33

demonstrated that diets rich in vitamins A

Previous reports suggest that develop-

cataract patients.31

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of the association between participant characteristics and ophthalmic nutrition awareness and knowledge

\*Indicates reference category.

ophthalmic nutrient

nutrients (74 per cent).

higher than for those without such exposure.

Similarly, the ophthalmic nutrients intake

score was, on average, 57.3 per cent higher

for participants who correctly identified food

sources of ophthalmic nutrients than those

who could not. Moreover, a larger proportion

of the healthy participants constituted those

who knew about nutrition and ophthalmic

health (50.6 per cent) and those who could

identify food sources rich in ophthalmic

Taking the above into account, it is not

surprising that the mean ophthalmic intake

score for participants with healthy eyes was

significantly higher than for both glaucoma

5

Ophthalmic nutrients	Healthy (n = 159) n (%)	Cataract (n = 171) n (%)	Glaucoma (n = 162) n (%)
Lutein, zeaxanthin	31 (19.5)	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)
Omega-3	109 (68.6)	10 (5.9)	24 (14.8)
Vitamin A	97 (61.0)	12 (7.0)	31 (19.1)
Vitamin C	112 (70.4)	17 (9.9)	38 (24.5)
Vitamin E	77 (48.4)	12 (7.0)	21 (13.0)
Zinc	63 (39.6)	2 (1.2)	12 (7.4)
Lycopene	35 (22.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)

Table 2. Proportion of participants who correctly identified food sources for each



Figure 4. Relation between age (horizontal axis) and ophthalmic nutrient intake score (vertical axis)

correlation was determined for each participant group. Pearson's correlation (r) between age and nutrient intake score was 0.131 (p = 0.141) for healthy participants, -0.075 (p = 0.329) for cataract patients and -0.233 (p = 0.003) for glaucoma patients. The weak and statistically not significant correlation observed for both healthy participants and cataract patients indicates that other factors other than age difference may account for the difference in nutrient intake scores between the participant groups (Figure 4).

It is also worth noting that the study participants were enrolled from relatively wellresourced hospitals and may have received prior nutrition education, and therefore may be well motivated. In this regard, the results from the study may not be generalisable to the entire ophthalmic patient population as would have been in the case of a randomised population-based assessment.

In conclusion, patients with cataract and glaucoma knew very little about ophthalmic nutrition compared to respondents with healthy eyes. This inadequate knowledge resulted in a lower intake of nutrients known to prevent or delay the development of chronic eye diseases. These findings suggest the need to pursue a wide-reaching nutritional sensitisation program on a national scale. Whereas surveys using the food frequency questionnaire work well to obtain snapshot information about dietary patterns of patients, further studies need to adopt objective means of quantitating dietary patterns.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the facility managers and clinical staff at the three study sites for their enormous support during the data collection process. The authors are equally grateful to all the participants for availing themselves for the study.

#### REFERENCES

- Flaxman SR, Bourne RRA, Resnikoff S et al. Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990–2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; 5: e1221–e1234.
- Sabanayagam C, Cheng C-Y. Global causes of vision loss in 2015: are we on track to achieve the Vision 2020 target? *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; 5: e1164–e1165.
- Kumah EK, Wiafe B, Baffoe IO. Ghana National Blindness and Visual Impairment Study. The International Agency For The Prevention Of Blindness. 2017. [Cited 2 Jan 2020.] Available at: https://www.iapb.org/vision-2020/ghana-national-blindness-and-visual-impairmentstudy/
- Budenz DL, Bandi JR, Barton K et al. Blindness and visual impairment in an urban west African population: The Tema Eye Survey. *Ophthalmology* 2012; 119: 1744–1753.
- Boadi-Kusi SB, Hansraj R, Mashige KP et al. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment and blindness among cocoa farmers in Ghana. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2017; 24: 17–23.
- Potter A, Debrah O, Ashun J et al. Eye Health Systems Assessment (EHSA): Ghana Country Report. Ghana Health Service, International Centre for Eye Health, Sightsavers. 2013. [Cited 12 Sep 2019.] Available at: https://www.iapb.org/wp-content/uploads/Ghana-Eye-Health-System-Assessment-Report.pdf
- Ocansey S, Kyei S, Diafo A et al. Cost of the medical management and prescription pattern for primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) in Ghana – a retrospective cross-sectional study from three referral facilities. BMC Health Serv Res 2016; 16: 282.
- Gyasi ME, Amoaku WMK, Asamany DK. Barriers to cataract surgical uptake in the upper east region of Ghana. *Ghana Med J* 2007; 41: 167–170.
- 9. Thiagarajan R, Manikandan R. Antioxidants and cataract. *Free Radic Res* 2013; 47: 337–345.
- Vu HTV, Robman L, Hodge A et al. Lutein and Zeaxanthin and the risk of cataract: the Melbourne Visual Impairment Project. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006; 47: 3783–3786.
- Glaser TS, Doss LE, Shih G et al. The association of dietary Lutein plus Zeaxanthin and B vitamins with cataracts in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study: AREDS Report No. 37. Ophthalmology 2015; 122: 1471–1479.
- 12. Tan AG, Mitchell P, Flood VM et al. Antioxidant nutrient intake and the long-term incidence of age-related

cataract: The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008; 87: 1899–1905.

- Ma L, Liu R, Du JH et al. Lutein, Zeaxanthin and Meso-Zeaxanthin supplementation associated with macular pigment optical density. *Nutrients* 2016; 8: 426.
- Tan JSL, Wang JJ, Flood V et al. dietary antioxidants and the long-term incidence of age-related macular degeneration: The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2008; 115: 334–341.
- Sangiovanni JP, Chew EY. The role of omega-3 longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids in health and disease of the retina. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2005; 24: 87–138.
- Paterson PG, Gottschall-Pass KT, Zhang Z. Zinc and the eye. J Am Coll Nutr 2001; 20: 106–118.
- Vishwanathan R, Chung M, Johnson EJ. A systematic review on zinc for the prevention and treatment of age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013; 54: 3985–3998.
- Gupta SK, Trivedi D, Srivastava S et al. Lycopene attenuates oxidative stress induced experimental cataract development: an in vitro and in vivo study. *Nutrition* 2003; 19: 794–799.
- Amirul IFM, Chong EW, Hodge AM et al. Dietary patterns and their associations with age-related macular degeneration: The Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. Onhthalmology 2014: 121: 1428–1434 e2.
- The Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 Research Group. Lutein + Zeaxanthin and omega-3 fatty acids for agerelated macular degeneration: The Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 (Areds2) Randomized Clinical Trial. *IAMA* 2013: 309: 2005–2015.
- Al Owaifeer AM, Al Taisan AA. The role of diet in glaucoma: a review of the current evidence. *Ophthalmol Ther* 2018; 7: 19–31.
- 22. Weikel KA, Garber C, Baburins A et al. Nutritional modulation of cataract. *Nutr Rev* 2014; 72: 30–47.
- Ajani UA, Willett WC, Seddon JM. Reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire for use in ocular research. Eye Disease Case-Control Study Group. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1994; 35: 2725–2733.
- Doherty ML, Owusu-Dabo E, Kantanka OS et al. Type 2 diabetes in a rapidly urbanizing region of Ghana, west Africa: a qualitative study of dietary preferences, knowledge and practices. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14: 1069.
- Nti CA, Hayford J, Opare-Obisaw C. Nutrition knowledge, diet quality and nutritional status of people living with HIV (PLHIV) in Ghana. *Food Public Health* 2012; 2: 219–227.
- Faunalytics. Food Frequency Questionnaires. 2015. [Cited 11 Sep 2019.] Available at: Https://Faunalytics. Org/Ffq/
- Sharma Y, Saxena S, Mishra A et al. Nutrition for diabetic retinopathy: plummeting the inevitable threat of diabetic vision loss. *Eur J Nutr* 2017; 56: 2013–2027.
- Stevens R, Bartlett H, Walsh R et al. Age-related macular degeneration patients' awareness of nutritional factors. Br J Vis Impair 2014; 32: 77–93.
- Wardle J, Parmenter K, Waller J. Nutrition knowledge and food intake. *Appetite* 2000; 34: 269–275.
- Spronk I, Kullen C, Burdon C et al. Relationship between nutrition knowledge and dietary intake. Br J Nutr 2014; 111: 1713–1726.
- Ojofeitimi EO, Adelekan DA, Adeoye A et al. Dietary and lifestyle patterns in the aetiology of cataracts in Nigerian patients. *Nutr Health* 1999; 13: 61–68.
- Townend BS, Townend ME et al. Dietary macronutrient intake and five-year incident cataract: The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Am J Ophthalmol 2007; 143: 932–939.e1.
- Giaconi JA, Yu F, Stone KL et al. The association of consumption of fruits/vegetables with decreased risk of glaucoma among older African-American women in the study of osteoporotic fractures. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012; 154: 635–644.
- Ramdas WD, Wolfs RCW, Kiefte-De Jong JC et al. Nutrient intake and risk of open-angle glaucoma: the Rotterdam study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2012; 27: 385–393.